



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,762	01/30/2001		Tetsuya Makino	1100.65170	9437
24978	7590	06/27/2005		EXAMINER	
GREER, B	URNS &	CRAIN	WU, XIAO MIN		
300 S WAC	KER DR				
25TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6	2674		
				DATE MAIL ED. 04/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,762	MAKINO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	XIAO M. WU	2674	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	April 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	,		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
		•	
Attachment(s)	C		
) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami et al. (US Patent No. 4,380,008) in view of Miyazawa (US Patent No. 5,731,794).

As to claims 11, 13, Kawakami discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising: an matrix panel; a liquid crystal having spontaneous polarization, sealed in the matrix panel; and a writing/erasing unit (Fig. 12) for displaying an image on a frame by frame basis by repeating a data writing processing (e.g. selected state, half-selected selected as shown in Fig. 9) and a data erasing process (e.g. erasing state as shown in Fig. 9) for the matrix panel, wherein one frame time comprises a period of the data writing process, a period of the data erasing process and a

Art Unit: 2674

period during which neither the data writing process nor the data erasing process is performed (e.g. the non-selected state as shown in Fig. 9).

It is noted that Kawakami does not disclose that the liquid crystal display is an active matrix type display. However, it is well known in the art that the liquid crystal display could be an active matrix display (e.g. each display element is controlled by a TFT switch) such as taught by Miyazawa (see Fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kawakami with the features of the active matrix display as taught by Miyazawa because the matrix type LCD and the active matrix type LCD are alternative for each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 12 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/4/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the portion of Kawakami that are cited by the Examiner, however, fail to teach or suggest the timing features of in the present invention relating to the period where neither data writing nor erasing is performed (the non-operation period") or the one time frame that includes all of a writing period, an erasing period, and anon-operation period. these arguments are not persuasive. As shown in Fig. 9, a pixel (cross section of electrode X and Y) is driven by a wave form in different periods. The driving period includes a selected state period, half-select state period (i.e., writing period as in the claims); a non-selected state period (i.e., the

Application/Control Number: 09/772,762

Art Unit: 2674

non-operation period); and a erasing state period. Because each of the pixels is driven within a frame period (e.g. a time period required to scan all pixel), each of pixels is driven within a frame period including the selected state period, half-selected period, a non-selected period and a erasing state period. It is believed that the claimed limitations are met by the prior art references.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571 272-7761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK EDOUARD, can be reached on 571 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,762

Art Unit: 2674

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent .

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

x.w.

June 15, 2005

XIAO M. WU Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

Di Wa

Page 5